



FACULTY / ACADEMICIAN FEEDBACK FORM

Name of the Faculty :
Designation : Branch :
Year of Experience :
Name of the program :
Being evaluated
Contact No. : Email ID :

Please tick (✓) one box only for each of the following statements.

S.No	Statements	Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	How do you rate the sequencing of training (i.e. order of courses presented) within the program properly address course pre-requisites and/or co-requisites				
2	Rate the time allocated to EACH course (like sufficient, excessive, or inadequate)				
3	How do you rate necessary competencies/learning objectives are included within the individual program courses				
4	courses that contain learning objectives not particularly relevant to the course/program				
5	Rate proper balance between theory (i.e. classroom) and practice (i.e. lab/shop/fieldwork) within the program				
6	How do you rate the tools, equipment and/or supplies listed for practical components of the curriculum (if applicable) are satisfactory for program delivery				
7	Rate the textbooks listed is adequate for program delivery				
8	How do you rate the adequate learning resources (i.e. print media, audio-visual materials, etc.) provided for program delivery and to actively engage students				
9	Rate the form of instruction is reinforced with appropriate technologies (i.e. current software, hardware, etc.)				
10	The way of objectives of the work term clear and sufficient to further build on the students' knowledge and skill level already developed				

Please provide any additional comments regarding this program you feel have not been previously addressed :

--

Date:

Signature

Evaluation Report

S.No	Statements	Mark Obtained
1	How do you rate the sequencing of training (i.e. order of courses presented) within the program properly address course pre-requisites and/or co-requisites	
2	Rate the time allocated to EACH course (like sufficient, excessive, or inadequate)	
3	How do you rate necessary competencies/learning objectives are included within the individual program courses	
4	courses that contain learning objectives not particularly relevant to the course/program	
5	Rate proper balance between theory (i.e. classroom) and practice (i.e. lab/shop/fieldwork) within the program	
6	How do you rate the tools, equipment and/or supplies listed for practical components of the curriculum (if applicable) are satisfactory for program delivery	
7	Rate the textbooks listed is adequate for program delivery	
8	How do you rate the adequate learning resources (i.e. print media, audio-visual materials, etc.) provided for program delivery and to actively engage students	
9	Rate the form of instruction is reinforced with appropriate technologies (i.e. current software, hardware, etc.)	
10	The way of objectives of the work term clear and sufficient to further build on the students' knowledge and skill level already developed	
Total Mark		
% mark obtained = Total Mark / sum of maximum mark of each statement		
<p>Is this Feedback sent to BOS / Dept. Advisory Committee for Curriculum Improvement</p> <p>Note :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If % of mark obtained is ≥ 75 the curriculum is framed well. • If % of mark obtained is > 50 & < 75 the curriculum needs improvement. • If % of mark obtained is < 50 the curriculum need to be revised. 		YES / NO
<p><u>If Yes Give Suggestion about the places in the curriculum needs to improved</u></p> 		

Academic Coordinator / Dept. Advisory
Committee

HoD / Program
Coordinator